Fixed capital reproduction as a factor of sustainable development: Approaches, challenges and perspectives

by *Oten Shevket*[*], *Jiaming Zhang*[**], *Beibei Li*[***], *Temirlan Taalaibek*[****], *Kanyshai Toktalieva*[*****]

Abstract

The aim of this study was to investigate the reproduction of fixed capital as a factor of sustainable development, as well as to analyse the approaches, challenges and prospects in this area, focusing on the example of the United States, China, Spain, Italy, India, Syria, Libya, Vietnam, Mexico, Brazil, Germany, South Korea, Singapore, Denmark, Norway, Sweden. The study uses a combined approach that includes regression and comparative analysis, as well as systematic analysis to study the relationships between fixed capital reproduction and sustainable development, in particular economic, social, and environmental aspects. The study found that fixed investment fluctuated, with USD 33 trillion in 2018, increasing to USD 34 trillion in 2019, but declining to USD 30 trillion in 2020 due to the negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. In 2021, there was a partial recovery to USD 32 trillion, indicating the ability of economies to adapt to new conditions. Key findings show that the level of fixed investment is directly correlated with gross domestic product growth and job creation. For example, in Brazil, infrastructure investment created 1.5 million jobs in two years, while in India, the level of fixed investment reached 30% of gross domestic product, contributing 6% to economic growth. The study also developed recommendations for the reproduction of fixed capital in Kyrgyzstan. The conclusion emphasises the need for an integrated approach to investment strategies that takes into account economic, social and environmental factors to achieve sustainable development.

[*] Department of Park of Innovative Technologies, Aktobe Regional University named after K. Zhubanov, 030000, 34 A. Moldagulov Ave., Aktobe, Republic of Kazakhstan.
[**] Department of Economic Programs and Management, Bishkek State University named after Academician Kusein Karasaev, 720044, 27 Ch. Aitmatov Ave., Bishkek, Kyrgyz Republic.
[***] Department of Economic Programs and Management, Bishkek State University named after Academician Kusein Karasaev, 720044, 27 Ch. Aitmatov Ave., Bishkek, Kyrgyz Republic.
[****] National Agency for Investments under the President of the Kyrgyz Republic, 720040, 8/1 Razzalov Str., Bishkek, Kyrgyz Republic.
[*****] Bishkek Innovation College, International University of Kyrgyzstan, 720001, 89 Turusbekov Str., Bishkek, Kyrgyz Republic.

Rivista di Studi sulla Sostenibilità, (ISSNe 2239-7221), 2025, 2 Thematic Issue

Doi: 10.3280/riss2025oa21092

Key words: investment, infrastructure development, technological innovation, productivity, strategic planning.

First submission: 23/09/2025; *accepted:* 26/11/2025

1. Introduction

The problem of effective capital management becomes especially relevant against the backdrop of global challenges such as climate change, growing inequality and digitalisation. These factors challenge countries not only to maintain current production capacities, but also to adapt them to new conditions.

Existing research in this area emphasises the importance of capital reproduction as a tool to promote economic growth and improve the quality of life. For example, Melnyk et al. (2021) point out the importance of fixed capital investment in improving labour productivity and the sustainability of economies. Similarly, the work of Vysotska and Vysotskyi (2022) confirms that an increase in fixed capital investment leads to a significant increase in the gross domestic product (GDP) of countries. The study by Arsenijević and Perić (2021) also notes that effective management of fixed capital has a positive impact on economic growth.

Nevertheless, despite the extensive amount of research, many aspects of this process remain insufficiently studied. In particular, insufficient attention has been paid to the interaction between fixed capital reproduction and environmental factors, which creates gaps in understanding the complex impact of these processes on sustainable development. The study by Cheng and Adejumo (2021) shows that environmental aspects such as the use of renewable energy can significantly improve the efficiency of industries, but this requires additional investment in equipment modernisation.

Studies by Zapata-Cantu and González (2021) and Woźniak and Woźniak (2021), focus on individual aspects of capital reproduction, such as technological innovation or investment strategies. They also focus on the importance of strategic planning to achieve sustainable growth and minimise investment-related risks.

Valuable insights are also provided in the works of other researchers. For example, Chakraborty (2020) emphasises that focusing on technological innovation ignores social aspects such as the skill level of workers, which can lead to lower productivity in the long run. In turn, the work of Ganjoo and Verma (2021) reveals that insufficient attention to human capital during

capital reproduction processes can lead to increased unemployment and social discontent.

Additionally, the work of Ramazanov et al. (2022), Guteta and Worku (2022) analyses the impact of state programmes on investment in fixed capital, stressing that active participation of the state in financing infrastructure projects can significantly accelerate the processes of capital reproduction and create new jobs. This is confirmed by the study of Kurmaiev et al. (2020), who point out the need for a balanced approach between private and public investment to achieve sustainable economic growth.

Thus, the problem field of this study is to identify the approaches, challenges and perspectives of fixed capital reproduction in the context of sustainable development. This study analyses the existing approaches to the reproduction of fixed capital and their impact on economic growth, and identifies the key challenges faced by countries in the process of reproduction of fixed capital, including environmental and social aspects. Also, one of the objectives of the study was to develop recommendations for optimising the processes of reproduction of fixed capital taking into account current challenges and trends, including for Kyrgyzstan.

2. Materials and Methods

This study used a combined approach, which includes both quantitative and qualitative methods. The time frame of the analysis covers the period from 2015 to 2023, which enables to assess the dynamics of fixed capital reproduction and its impact on sustainable development. The choice of this period is due to the significant changes in the world economy caused by both economic crises and increasing globalisation, which makes the analysis particularly relevant.

Data was collected through various sources, including reports from the World Bank (2024), International Monetary Fund (2020), and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2023). These organisations provide extensive data on macroeconomic performance, fixed capital investment and sustainability trends. Additionally, data from the International Labour Organization (2023) and the United Nations (2024) were used, which offer information on social and environmental aspects affecting capital reproduction. Studies published in BloombergNEF (2024) and Korea Smart City Project (2023) were also important sources.

The analysis covers the following countries: the United States (Bureau of Economic Analysis, 2021), China (National Bureau of Statistics of China,

2023), Spain and Italy (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2023), India, Syria, Libya, Vietnam and Mexico (International Monetary Fund, 2020), Brazil (Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics, 2020), Germany, South Korea, Singapore, Denmark, Norway and Sweden (McKinsey Sustainability, 2023). These countries were chosen because they represent a wide range of economic systems, levels of development, and different approaches to capital reproduction and sustainability. The countries were selected based on their diverse economic systems, levels of development, and varying approaches to capital reproduction and sustainability. This range allows for a comprehensive comparison of different models and practices across both developed and developing economies, highlighting key trends and challenges in fixed capital reproduction.

Regression analysis was used to investigate the relationships between the reproduction of fixed capital and indicators of sustainable development. This method helps to determine which factors most significantly influence this process, as well as to identify potential patterns and trends. Comparative analysis, in turn, allowed to compare different models of fixed capital reproduction in different countries. This approach helps to identify best practices and adapt successful capital management models to the specific conditions of other countries.

A systems analysis approach was used to interpret the results. This approach identifies the interrelationships between the various factors affecting the reproduction of fixed capital, including economic, social and environmental aspects. It also helps in identifying key factors that facilitate or hinder the integration of sustainable development principles into fixed capital management practices.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Dynamics of fixed capital reproduction

Analysing the changes in fixed capital investment shows significant fluctuations from 2018-2021. According to World Bank (2024), total fixed capital investment worldwide increased from USD 33 trillion in 2018 to USD 34 trillion in 2019, but declined to USD 30 trillion in 2020, driven by the COVID-19 pandemic. This decline was driven by multiple factors, including business closures, reduced consumer demand, and uncertainty about future economic prospects. The pandemic has been a catalyst for changing approaches to business and investment, forcing companies to rethink their strategies and adapt to new conditions.

For many small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that did not have sufficient financial reserves, this situation led to serious financial difficulties. In the US, over 30% of small businesses closed temporarily or permanently during the early months of the pandemic. This significantly reduced fixed capital investment (Bureau of Economic Analysis, 2021). Companies started rethinking their business models, focusing on digitalization, automation, and remote work. This shift led to more investment in the IT sector and a change in the economic investment structure.

According to McKinsey Sustainability (2023), companies that invested in digitalization and automation survived while boosting their productivity. In the logistics and manufacturing sectors, automated systems cut lead times by 20-30%. This improvement helped increase profits and gain market share, highlighting the importance of strategic investments in the new environment.

In 2021, there was a rise in investments in green technologies and sustainable energy. BloombergNEF (2024) reports that global clean energy investment hit a record $500 billion in 2020, which was a 9% increase from 2019. This growth shows a shift in priorities among public and private investors who recognize the need to combat climate change and adopt sustainable production methods. Countries wanting to lower carbon emissions actively developed renewable energy projects, such as solar and wind farms.

There was a gradual economic recovery in 2021, which, however, was not homogeneous across countries and sectors. Countries that were able to quickly adapt to the new conditions and innovate have started to recover faster. For example, in China, fixed capital investment increased by 7% in 2021, contributing to GDP growth of 8.1% (National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2023). On the other hand, global trends show that in many countries, including those with slower recovery like Italy and Spain, investment remained below pre-crisis levels, reflecting broader challenges in capital formation (Table 1). This global investment trend highlights the ongoing difficulties in revitalizing economies, particularly in regions facing structural policy limitations and slower adaptation to post-pandemic conditions.

Table 1 – Volume of fixed capital investment worldwide

Year	*Volume of investments in fixed assets (trillion USD)*
2018	33
2019	34
2020	30
2021	32

Source: Compiled by the authors based on Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2023).

While the growth in fixed capital investment may have contributed to job creation, the quality of these jobs and the mismatch between the skills of the workforce and market demand remain concerns. Many of the new jobs created in rapidly recovering sectors, such as digitalization and automation, require higher skill levels, which may not align with the existing workforce's capabilities. This skills gap could potentially hinder long-term sustainable growth, as workers may need significant retraining to meet the evolving needs of industries investing in advanced technologies.

Key trends affecting fixed capital formation include changes in tax policy, levels of political stability, and shifts in global trade. India's 2019 tax incentives for manufacturers, which included reduced corporate tax rates and accelerated depreciation on plant and machinery, boosted investment by 15%, contributing significantly to the growth of the manufacturing sector. The "Make in India" initiative, aimed at promoting India as a global manufacturing hub, further attracted international factories, increasing both foreign direct investment and domestic production capacity (International Monetary Fund, 2020). These measures, while effective in stimulating short-term investment, may only yield sustained growth if accompanied by broader institutional reforms, such as improvements in infrastructure, labor market flexibility, and regulatory frameworks. Without these structural enhancements, the long-term impact on fixed capital formation could be limited.

Regional instability, especially in the Middle East, continues negatively impacting investment volumes. In countries like Syria and Libya, investment has nearly stopped due to civil conflicts. As global challenges like climate change and pandemics arise, political stability becomes more important, as investors look for safe and predictable markets.

Investment fluctuations relate to changes in market conditions, shifts in supply and demand, and global crises. The 2019 US-China trade war cut manufacturing investment in both countries (Bureau of Economic Analysis, 2021). Companies diversified their production chains by moving facilities to lower-cost countries like Vietnam and Mexico, which changed global supply chains and has lasting economic effects (World Bank, 2024).

Global economic conditions greatly influence the investment climate (Krylovskyi, 2024; 2025). Low interest rates enable easier borrowing to promote growth, while high inflation raises input costs and reduces investment. In 2021, central banks increased rates in response to inflation, which impacted investment decisions. Fixed asset investment is also shaped by interest rates, inflation, and access to credit.

Thus, the dynamics of fixed capital reproduction represent a complex process influenced by political and economic stability as well as global

trends. More research is needed to uncover the less obvious relationships and mechanisms involved.

3.2. Relationship with sustainable development indicators

Reproduction of fixed capital has a significant impact on economic growth and employment. Studies show that an increase in fixed capital investment is directly linked to GDP growth and job creation. For example, in India, the level of fixed capital investment reached 30% of GDP in 2019, contributing 6% to GDP growth (International Monetary Fund, 2020). In Brazil, infrastructure investment led to the creation of over 1.5 million jobs in the construction sector in two years (Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics, 2020). These data emphasise the importance of investment in infrastructure projects in driving economic growth and job creation in key sectors (Table 2).

Table 2 – Impact of investment on employment and GDP

Country	*Level of investment (%) of GDP*	*GDP Growth(%)*	*Jobs created (million)*
India	30	6	1.8
Brazil	25	4.5	1.5
Germany	21	2.8	0.7
South Korea	23	3.5	0.9
Singapore	30	5.2	0.6

Source: Compiled by the authors based on International Monetary Fund (2020).

The correlation between capital reproduction and environmental performance is also an important aspect. Increased investment can lead to higher CO_2 emissions if environmental factors are not taken into account. For example, in China, CO_2 emissions increased by 2% in 2019 despite significant investment in infrastructure (National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2023). Adopting clean energy technologies and switching to renewable energy sources can significantly change the emissions picture and lead to positive environmental outcomes (Caglar et al., 2025; Iurchenko et al., 2024). A sustainable approach to capital reproduction is becoming increasingly important for long-term economic stability. Integrating environmental and social criteria into investment decisions can lead to more sustainable development and improved quality of life (Pata, 2025; Hadasik et al., 2025). For example, adopting green technologies in the energy sector

can not only reduce carbon emissions, but also create new jobs in renewable energy.

It is worth noting that investments in environmental technologies can lead to significant economic benefits. According to a report by the World Economic Forum (2024), shifting to a sustainable economy could create up to 24 million jobs by 2030 through the Green Economy Initiative alone. This emphasises the need to actively incorporate sustainable practices into business practices.

Moreover, it is important to emphasise that successful capital reproduction requires an integrated approach that includes not only economic, but also social and environmental aspects. This is because investments in fixed capital can often have unpredictable consequences for the environment and society. For example, the growth of energy projects can lead to improved living conditions in regions, but can also cause negative consequences such as environmental degradation and socio-cultural tensions. Therefore, it is important to conduct environmental impact assessments early in the design and implementation of investment projects in order to minimise potential negative effects.

3.3. Comparative analysis of reproduction models

Comparative analysis of fixed capital reproduction patterns reveals significant efficiency differences across countries. Germany and Singapore reach high efficiency by using innovative technologies and sustainable practices. In Germany, the "Industry 4.0" concept has prompted more than 70% of manufacturing companies to implement digital technologies. This has reduced costs and raised efficiency (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2023).

The identification of best practices in capital reproduction shows that successful models can be applied in other countries. South Korea's smart city technologies reduced energy consumption by 20% while improving residents' quality of life (Korea Smart City Project, 2023). Singapore's "City of Tomorrow" programme promotes sustainable urban infrastructure through green spaces, optimized traffic flows, and smart lighting technologies (Cities of Tomorrow, 2017).

Countries adopting innovative, sustainable approaches show superior economic growth and quality of life (Khan et al., 2025; Ketners et al., 2025). Denmark exemplifies this by achieving 47% electricity production from renewable sources in 2020, among the world's highest rates (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2023). This demonstrates

how renewable energy investment creates jobs while reducing fossil fuel dependence.

Successful financing schemes also merit consideration. Norway and Sweden actively use "green bonds" to fund environmental protection and climate change projects (World Bank, 2024). These instruments promote green investment growth while raising public awareness about sustainable development importance.

However, not all countries can easily adopt successful practices from others. Adapting capital reproduction models requires considering local conditions, cultural aspects, and development strategies, including workforce training, innovative infrastructure building, and local market development. Adaptation necessitates examining both external factors and internal economic structure, including education systems, finance access, and technological readiness levels (Table 3).

Table 3 – Comparative analysis of countries in terms of efficiency of fixed capital formation

Country	*Investment rate (%) of GDP*	*Innovation ranking*	*Employment rate (%)*	*Environmental performance (CO_2/ GDP)*
Germany	21	1	75	0.2
Singapore	30	5	70	0.3
South Korea	23	6	67	0.25
Denmark	19	3	72	0.15
India	30	48	54	0.5
Norway	24	2	70	0.1

Source: Compiled by the authors based on United Nations (2024).

Table 3 shows a comparative analysis of countries in terms of efficiency of reproduction of fixed capital and provides several key findings. Singapore and India have the highest level of fixed capital investment (30% of GDP), indicating a desire for economic growth. At the same time, Germany and Denmark show lower investment, which can be attributed to their developed economies. South Korea tops the innovation ranking, which contributes to its competitiveness. High employment rates in Germany and Denmark (75% and 72% respectively) indicate stable labour markets, while India and South Korea have lower employment rates.

Norway stands out with low CO_2 emissions per unit of GDP (0.1), indicating its sustainable development, while India faces high emissions (0.5), which requires attention to environmental issues. Overall, the efficient reproduction of capital depends on a combination of investment, innovation,

employment and environmental performance, emphasising the need for integrated strategies for sustainable development.

3.4. Impact of investment in research and development (R&D) on economic growth

The findings show that investment in R&D plays a key role in the economic growth of countries. The data analysis shows that countries with high shares of R&D investment, such as South Korea and Denmark, have higher productivity growth and patents per capita. This indicates that investment in innovation contributes to the creation of new technologies and improved production processes, which in turn affects the overall competitiveness of the economy.

Institutional factors play a key role in supporting or hindering the process of capital reproduction, particularly in the context of sustainable development. Effective institutions that provide a stable legal framework, transparency, adequate protection of property rights, and fair regulation create conditions for long-term investment. They promote the integration of environmental, social, and economic standards into capital investment processes, which is necessary to achieve sustainable development. Weak institutions and unstable regulations can hinder investment, limit access to finance, and reduce confidence in the economy, leading to lower capital reproduction efficiency and slower environmental and social transformation (Kraievskyi, 2024).

A study conducted by Tadevosyan et al. (2023), Inojosa et al. (2024) emphasises that countries that make high investments in R&D show significant improvements in their economic productivity and innovation performance. The authors analyse data from 30 countries and show that increasing the share of R&D in GDP contributes to GDP per capita growth. The study highlights key factors, such as efficient resource allocation and government support, that contribute to this process.

India, despite a relatively low level of R&D investment at 0.7% of GDP, has an impressive productivity growth of 5.0%. Delima et al. (2019) argues that in developing countries like India, there may be alternative ways to achieve high productivity growth that do not necessarily depend on the amount of R&D investment. He emphasises that human capital development and access to education have a significant impact on economic growth, even when the level of investment in R&D is low.

Nikolić and Antonijević (2020) and Malhotra and Dobriyal (2023) also note that a number of countries can achieve significant economic growth through the efficient use of available resources and the development of the

services sector. They cite examples such as Singapore, where a focus on service and education development has been a major driver of economic growth. The authors stress that in the context of globalisation, service-oriented countries can experience higher growth rates than those that focus on the manufacturing sector.

In a study by Yarmatov and Jumayev (2022), Adamkulova et al. (2025) point out that underinvestment in R&D can lead to stagnation and reduced international competitiveness. The examples of Latin American countries that, despite having natural resources, have not achieved significant economic growth due to insufficient investment in innovation and R&D are discussed. The author emphasises that in order to achieve economic growth in such countries it is necessary not only to increase investment in R&D, but also to develop innovation infrastructure.

Research shows that countries actively investing in R&D demonstrate higher economic growth rates. Zhakupov et al. (2021) and Makovoz and Haiduk (2020) examine Israel's strategic investment allocation to key sectors, emphasizing targeted investment for specific innovation goals rather than mere volume increases.

Singh et al. (2020) and Spangenberg (2019) demonstrate that alternative growth models based on SME development can succeed in countries with low R&D investment levels. SMEs play crucial roles in innovation processes and can drive economic growth with limited resources through government-SME cooperation models (Bashtannyk et al., 2020; Tiurina et al., 2023).

Prados-Peña et al. (2019), Osmonkulova et al. (2025) emphasize the importance of government regulation and creating innovation infrastructure. Countries that actively support start-ups and small innovative enterprises experience higher growth rates by combining financial support with favorable R&D environments. The study shows that managing fixed capital in a way that considers both economic and social factors, including labor conditions and employee skills, greatly improves efficiency.

Nurlanova et al. (2024) examine how international R&D cooperation affects economic growth. Countries that take part in international research projects gain access to new technologies and knowledge, which helps foster innovative growth. European Union cooperation examples show significant R&D investment increases.

Zhironkin et al. (2021) and Petrivskyi and Medvid (2022) investigate digitalization’s R&D impact, finding that digital technology integration significantly improves R&D efficiency through lower costs and faster product development.

However, success isn't always directly related to R&D investment levels. Human capital availability, education system quality, strategic resource

allocation, and government support significantly impact productivity and living standards (Kichurchak, 2024; Vasyl'yeva et al., 2023).

An integrated R&D development approach including increased investment, infrastructure, education, and innovative enterprise support can significantly improve economic success chances, particularly for developing countries where effective resource management yields significant results despite limited budgets. Country-specific considerations remain crucial, as contextual factors significantly alter outcomes.

3.5. Recommendations for future research directions

Based on the analysis, practical recommendations for policymakers and business structures have been developed. It is important to integrate sustainable approaches into strategic planning and investment decisions. For example, Norway has developed a "Green Transition" strategy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and shift to sustainable energy sources. This includes the development of infrastructure for electric vehicles and the introduction of renewable energy sources such as wind and solar farms.

The need to integrate sustainable practices into capital management is supported by the study of Makovoz and Haiduk (2020), which emphasises the importance of sustainability in investment strategy. Successful examples of integrating sustainable practices, such as the research of Kabiru and Goni (2023), demonstrate positive impacts on economic and environmental outcomes. For example, companies that actively adopt sustainable practices often see an increase in their reputation and consumer trust, which in turn leads to increased sales and revenues.

Identifying areas for further investigation is also an important part of future research. Methodological approaches based on analysing the missing aspects identified in the literature can help in developing more effective capital reproduction and sustainability strategies. This includes examining the impact of new technologies such as artificial intelligence on capital reproduction and sustainability, as well as exploring the social implications of new technologies in different contexts.

Further research could also focus on how different countries adapt their investment strategies in response to changes in the global economy, as well as assessing the long-term effects of sustainable practices on economic growth and social welfare. Additionally, attention should be paid to the impact of climate change on investment decisions, which may be a key factor in the future. For example, analysing how different countries are responding to climate change and how investments in sustainable infrastructure play a

role in this can provide new insights for shaping effective investment policies.

Table 4 – Impact of investment on living standards and social development

Country	*Human Development Index (HDI)*	*Poverty rate (%)*	*Access to education (%)*	*Access to clean water (%)*
Germany	0.947	5	100	100
Singapore	0.935	2	99	100
South Korea	0.906	7	97	99
Denmark	0.940	6	100	100
India	0.645	22	75	88
Norway	0.961	4	100	100

Source: Compiled by the authors based on International Labour Organization (2023).

Table 4 demonstrates how the level of fixed capital investment correlates with the social development of countries. Countries with high investment, such as Germany, Singapore and Norway, have high HDIs, indicating high living standards, access to education and clean water. At the same time, India, with investment levels comparable to the leading countries, performs lower on these criteria, indicating the need to improve social infrastructure and quality of life for citizens.

This analysis examines the impact of R&D investment on different economies. Key indicators include the share of R&D investment in GDP, patents per capita, productivity growth and living standards measured by HDI. These data allow us to assess how innovation investment contributes to economic growth and quality of life in different countries (Table 5).

Table 5 – Investment in innovation and its impact on the economy

Country	*Investment in R&D (% of GDP)*	*Number of patents per capita*	*Productivity growth (%)*	*Standard of living (HDI)*
Germany	3.0	12	1.5	0.947
Singapore	2.5	9	2.0	0.935
South Korea	4.0	14	3.0	0.906
Denmark	3.5	11	2.5	0.940
India	0.7	0.5	5.0	0.645
Norway	2.2	8	1.8	0.961

Source: Compiled by the authors based on International Monetary Fund (2020).

Table 5 shows the link between R&D investment and economic growth. Countries like South Korea and Germany, which invest heavily in R&D, display high productivity growth rates and a significant number of patents per capita. This highlights how innovation drives economic competitiveness. At the same time, India, with its low level of R&D investment, performs less well in these areas, indicating the need to increase investment in innovation to achieve sustainable economic growth.

Effective R&D investment drives economic growth and social welfare, particularly in healthcare, enhancing quality of life and life expectancy (Inozemtsev, 2024; Malanchuk et al., 2024). An integrated approach to capital reproduction, addressing economic, social, and environmental factors, is essential. Given challenges like climate change and economic instability, sustainable development requires collaboration between policymakers and business leaders to support innovation and infrastructure. In a world of globalization and economic interdependence, fixed capital investment is critical for both individual countries and the global economy (Varnaliy et al., 2016; Tleubayev et al., 2024). Sustained growth in one country can foster economic development in other countries and create new opportunities for trade and cooperation. Improving infrastructure in developing countries enhances transport and logistics chains, making global supply processes more efficient and lowering costs for international businesses. Kyrgyzstan needs to increase infrastructure investment in roads, bridges, and energy facilities to strengthen its transport and logistics systems. It is essential to create favorable conditions for foreign investors by simplifying business registration and offering tax incentives for key industries.

Technology significantly affects capital reproduction (Nunes and Sytnychenko, 2024; Issayeva et al., 2024). With the rapid growth of AI, blockchain, and IoT, companies must change and streamline their processes. Introducing AI in production can increase efficiency and lower costs, leading to higher fixed capital investment. Kyrgyzstan should focus on developing digital technologies and innovative start-ups that can integrate into global supply chains and attract investment.

To ensure sustainable growth, the dynamics of fixed capital reproduction need constant monitoring and analysis. This helps identify trends and adapt to changes in the economic environment, fostering more equitable and sustainable societies for future generations.

4. Conclusions

This study revealed that fixed capital reproduction dynamics are closely

tied to R&D investment and economic growth. COVID-19 caused fixed capital investment to drop to $30 trillion in 2020 due to business closures and reduced demand, but recovery to $32 trillion in 2021 demonstrated economic adaptability. Investment in digitalization and green technologies drove this recovery. Companies that transformed their business models and adopted new technologies-maintained operations while boosting productivity. McKinsey data shows automation reduced lead times by 20-30%, contributing to profit growth.

Fixed capital investment correlates directly with GDP growth and job creation, as evidenced in India and Brazil. Brazil's infrastructure investment alone created over 1.5 million construction jobs in two years, highlighting infrastructure's economic importance. However, the study emphasized integrating environmental factors into investment strategies. Rising CO2 emissions in some countries underscore the need to balance economic growth with sustainability. Clean energy adoption could create up to 24 million jobs by 2030, demonstrating sustainable development's economic benefits.

Comparative analysis showed successful models like Germany's "Industry 4.0" and Singapore's "City of Tomorrow" can guide other countries, though local adaptation is essential. For Kyrgyzstan, recommendations include infrastructure investment, R&D development, and environmental integration to create a sustainable, adaptable economic model.

Future research should examine AI's impact on capital reproduction efficiency and climate change effects on investment strategies. Study limitations include regional data gaps and difficulty assessing long-term sustainable practice effects.

Funding

None.

References

Adamkulova, Ch., Akylbekova, N., Omurova, S., Mambetova, A., & Mambetkazieva, N. (2025). Digital Farming Platforms as a Tool for Strengthening Cooperation Between Kyrgyzstan and China: Potential and Prospects. *Ekonomika APK*, 32(3): 63-75. Doi: 10.32317/ekon.apk/2.2025.63.

Arsenijević, O., & Perić, N. (2021). Intellectual Capital of Cultural Heritage as a Development Factor of Service Activities. In: M. Stanković and V. Nikolić (Eds.). *Proceedings of the 3rd Virtual International Conference Path to a*

Knowledge Society – Managing Risks and Innovation (pp. 383-393). Complex System Research Center.

Bashtannyk, V., Buryk, Z., Kokhan, M., Vlasenko, T., & Skryl, V. (2020). Financial, economic and sustainable development of states within the conditions of industry 4.0. *International Journal of Management*, 11(4): 406-413. Doi: 10.34218/IJM.11.4.2020.040.

BloombergNEF (2024). *New Energy Outlook 2024*. -- Text available at the site https://about.bnef.com/new-energy-outlook/, 10.06.2025.

Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (2020). -- Text available at the site https://www.ibge.gov.br/en/home-eng.html, 12.06.2025.

Bureau of Economic Analysis (2021). *How is the COVID-19 Economic Injury Disaster Loan Program (EIDL) Recorded in the NIPAs?*. -- Text available at the site https://www.bea.gov/help/faq/1463, 15.06.2025.

Caglar, A.E., Demirdag, I., Destek, M.A., & Daştan, M. (2025). Achieving ecological sustainability in European countries: Does low carbon energy lead to a carbon neutrality pathway?. *Science of the Total Environment*, 958, 177915. Doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.177915.

Chakraborty, R. (2020). Jute and Sustainable Development – A Study of Its Socio-Economic and Environmental Prospects. *Indian Journal of Law and Justice*, 11(2): 331-346.

Cheng, W., & Adejumo, O.O. (2021). Entrepreneurship Channels and Sustainable Development: Directions for the Asian Economy. *Journal of Business Economics and Management,* 22(3): 799-822. Doi: 10.3846/jbem.2021.14252.

Cities of Tomorrow (2017). -- Text available at the site https://www.mnd.gov.sg/cities-of-tomorrow, 08.06.2025.

Delima, S.P., Moersidik, S.S., Wirutomo, P., & Alamsyah, A.T. (2019). Integrating Human Ecology into Sustainable Development to Address Potencies and Challenges of the City of Batam as a Port City. In: M. Siscawati, L.S. Barus, I.S. Fitrinitia (Eds.). *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Strategic and Global Studies (ICSGS 2018)* (pp. 58-62). Atlantis Press. Doi: 10.2991/icsgs-18.2019.8.

Ganjoo, S., & Verma, S. (2021). Role of Cultural Capital and Cultural Reproduction on Youth Development in India: A Generational Perspective. *Anthropology & Aging,* 42(2): 137-149. Doi: 10.5195/aa.2021.326.

Guteta, G., & Worku, H. (2022). Analysis of the Governance Practices for Promoting Sustainable Industrial Parks Development in Ethiopia: Challenges and Prospects. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Policy,* 11(2): 30-46. Doi: 10.18488/26.v11i2.3101.

Hadasik, B., Kubiczek, J., Ryczko, A., Krawczyńska, D., & Przedworska, K. (2025). From coal to clean energy: Economic and environmental determinants of household energy transition in Poland. *Energy Economics*, 148, 108697. Doi: 10.1016/j.eneco.2025.108697.

Inojosa, L., Silva, A.S., & Kuniochi, E.M.U. (2024). Development of BIM Models for Retrofit: Approaches and Challenges in a Case Study of Brasília. *Concilium,* 24(18): 378-392. Doi: 10.53660/CLM-4132-24S32.

Inozemtsev, S. (2024). Pricing in the medical sector: Theoretical foundations and practical aspects. *University Economic Bulletin*, 19(1): 26-33. Doi: 10.69587/ueb/1.2024.26.

International Labour Organization (2023). *Human-centred economics: The living standards of nations*. -- Text available at the site https://www.ilo.org/publications/human-centred-economics-living-standards-nations, 29.05.2025.

International Monetary Fund (2020). *IMF Annual Report 2020: A Year Like No Other.* -- Text available at the site https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/ar/2020/eng/, 29.05.2025.

Issayeva, A., Niyazbekova, S., Semenov, A., Kerimkhulle, S., & Sayimova, M. (2024). Digital technologies and the integration of a green economy: legal peculiarities and electronic transactions. *Reliability Theory and Applications,* 19(6): 1088-1096. Doi: 10.24412/1932-2321-2024-681-1088-1096.

Iurchenko, M., Nyzhnychenko, Y., Rudyk, N., Zolotarova, O., & Stakhurska, S. (2024). Harnessing Renewable Energy for Sustainable Economic Growth and Environmental Resilience. *Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources*, 7(3): s52-s69. Doi: 10.33002/nr2581.6853.0703ukr03.

Kabiru, S.A., & Goni, I. (2023). Challenges and Prospects of Sustainable Development Goals (SGDs). *Fuwukari Journal of Politics And Development*, 7(2): 84-97.

Ketners, K., Jargalsaikhan, Z., Miller, A., Miliienko, O., & Malkhasyan, L. (2025). Evaluation of effective anti-corruption strategies in state institutions. *Ceridap,* (1): 93-118. Doi: 10.13130/2723-9195/2025-1-48.

Khan, K.A., Subhan, M., Tiwari, S., Anser, M.K., & Destek, M.A. (2025). Impacts of natural resources and technological innovation on SDG achievement of OECD countries: How does democracy and globalization behave?. *Technology in Society,* 81, 102778.

Kichurchak, M. (2024). Human capital in the sustainable development of EU countries: A longitudinal data analysis. *Economic Forum*, 14(1): 18-27. Doi: 10.62763/cb/1.2024.18.

Korea Smart City Project (2023). *Towards a Sustainable Future, K-Smart City.* -- Text available at the site https://smartcity.go.kr/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/k-smartcityeng.pdf, 02.05.2025.

Kraievskyi, V. (2024). Actual Issues of Reproduction of Fixed Assets of Domestic Enterprises. *Economics of Systems Development*, 6(2): 16-21. Doi: 10.32782/2707-8019/2024-2-3

Krylovskyi, V. (2024). Increasing the financial potential of investment activity of business entities. *Economics, Entrepreneurship, Management,* 11(2): 65-76. Doi: 10.56318/eem2024.02.065.

Krylovskyi, V. (2025). Problems and prospects of investment climate development in Ukraine. *University Economic Bulletin*, 20(1): 100-115. Doi: 10.69587/ueb/2.2024.100.

Kurmaiev, P., Seliverstova, L., Husarevych, N., & Kolisnichenko, P. (2020). Migration as a Factor of the Sustainable Economic Development. *European*

Journal of Sustainable Development, 9(1): 380-390. Doi: 10.14207/ejsd.2020.v9n1p380.

Makovoz, O., & Haiduk, H. (2020). Creativity of Human Resources as a Factor of Sustainable Economic Development. *Market Infrastructure*, 50: 140-144. Doi: 10.32843/infrastruct50-22.

Malanchuk, O., Tryhuba, A., & Rudynets, M. (2024). Decision support system for identifying priority projects for the development of medical facilities in the hospital district. *Economic Forum,* 14(3): 21-34. Doi: 10.62763/ef/3.2024.21.

Malhotra, A., & Dobriyal, R. (2023). Adaptive Reuse of Heritage Architecture as a Sustainable Development Tool: Opportunities and Challenges. *ShodhKosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 4(2ECVPAMIAP): 21-27. Doi: 10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2ECVPAMIAP.2023.703.

McKinsey Sustainability. (2023). *2023 ESG Report: Accelerating Sustainable and Inclusive Growth for All.* -- Text available at the site https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/mckinsey/about%20us/social%20responsibility/2023%20esg%20report/mckinsey-and-company-2023-esg-report-executive-summary.pdf, 03.06.2025.

Melnyk, L., Kubatko, O., Matsenko, O., Balatskyi, Y., & Serdyukov, K. (2021). Transformation of the Human Capital Reproduction in Line with Industries 4.0 and 5.0. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 19(2): 480-494. Doi: 10.21511/ppm.19(2).2021.38.

National Bureau of Statistics of China (2023). *China Statistical Yearbook 2023*. -- Text available at the site https://www.stats.gov.cn/sj/ndsj/2023/indexeh.htm, 13.06.2025.

Nikolić, N.T., & Antonijević, R.M. (2020). Education as a Factor of Cultural Reproduction and Cultural Production. *Baština*, 51: 483-496. Doi: 10.5937/bastina30-27010.

Nunes, P., & Sytnychenko, K. (2024). Strategic forecasts for circular economy transition: Evaluation of the role of technology in economic development. *Economics, Entrepreneurship, Management*, 11(1): 25-36. Doi: 10.56318/eem2024.01.025.

Nurlanova, N., Alzhanova, F., Tleuberdinova, A., & Bekturganova, M. (2024). Reduction of Inequality of Regions as a Factor of Sustainable Development: The Case of Western Macro-region of Kazakhstan. *Eurasian Journal of Economic and Business Studies*, 68(1): 102-113. Doi: 10.47703/ejebs.v68i1.351.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). *Development Co-operation Report 2023: Debating the Aid System.* -- Text available at the site https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/development-co-operation-report-2023_f6edc3c2-en.html, 17.06.2025.

Osmonkulova, G., Karbozova, A., Sheishenova, Sh., Tokobaeva, A., & Sheralieva, Zh. (2025). Problems and Prospects of Food Security in the Agro-Industrial Complex of Kyrgyzstan: Economic Analysis and Recommendations. *Ekonomika APK*, 32(3): 44-57. Doi: 10.32317/ekon.apk/3.2025.44.

Pata, U.K. (2025). How to progress towards sustainable development by leveraging renewable energy sources, technological advances, and human capital. *Renewable Energy*, 241, 122367. Doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2025.122367.

Petrivskyi, O., & Medvid, H. (2022). Financial Inclusion as a Factor in the Transformation of Financial Markets in the Context of Sustainable Development. *Three Seas Economic Journal*, 3(1): 145-152. Doi: 10.30525/2661-5150/2022-1-21.

Prados-Peña, M.B., Gutiérrez-Carrillo, M.L., & Del Barrio-García, S. 2019. The Development of Loyalty to Earthen Defensive Heritage as a Key Factor in Sustainable Preventive Conservation. *Sustainability*, 11(13): 3516. Doi: 10.3390/su11133516.

Ramazanov, S.K., Tishkov, B.O., Honcharenko, O.H., & Hostryk, A.M. (2022). A Cognitive Approach to Modeling Sustainable Development of Complex Technogenic Systems in the Innovation Economy. In: H.B. Danylchuk, S.O. Semerikov (Eds.), *10th International Conference on Monitoring, Modeling & Management of Emergent Economy* (pp. 222-235). CEUR Workshop Proceedings.

Singh, S., Kumar, V., Dhanjal, D.S., Datta, S., Bhatia, D., Dhiman, J., Samuel, J., Prasad, R., & Singh, J. (2020). A Sustainable Paradigm of Sewage Sludge Biochar: Valorization, Opportunities, Challenges and Future Prospects. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 269, 122259. Doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122259.

Spangenberg, J. (2019). *Scenarios and Indicators for Sustainable Development: Towards a Critical Assessment of Achievements and Challenges*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. Doi: 10.3390/books978-3-03897-673-8.

Tadevosyan, Z., Makaryan, A., & Mkrtchyan, H. (2023). The Role of Tourism as the Factor Ensuring Economic Growth in the Republic of Armenia: Challenges and Prospects. *Regional Problems of Economic Transformation*, 10: 99-109. Doi: 10.26726/1812-7096-2023-10-99-109.

Tiurina, A., Petrunenko, I., Guliyeva, S., Qazizade, E., & Aliyeva, T. (2023). Social responsibility and modern business during the global crisis: threat or opportunity for the guam member countries. *Journal of Eastern European and Central Asian Research,* 10(2): 201-212. Doi: 10.15549/jeecar.v10i2.1276.

Tleubayev, A., Kerimkhulle, S., Tleuzhanova, M., Uchkampirova, A., Bulakbay, Z., Mugauina, R., Tazhibayeva, Z., Adalbek, A., Iskakov, Y., & Toleubay, D. (2024). Econometric Analysis of the Sustainability and Development of an Alternative Strategy to Gross Value Added in Kazakhstan's Agricultural Sector. *Econometrics,* 12(4): 29. Doi: 10.3390/econometrics12040029.

United Nations 2024. *Annual Report 2024.* -- Text available at the site https://www.un.org/en/annualreport, 08.06.2025.

Varnaliy, Z., Onishchenko, S., & Masliy, A. (2016). Threat prevention mechanisms of Ukraine's economic security. *Economic Annals-XXI*, 159(5-6): 20-24. Doi: 10.21003/ea.V159-04.

Vasyl'yeva, O., Sokolov, A., & Hil, L. (2023). Human capital in the modern paradigm of the knowledge economy. *Management and Business*, 1(1): 22-36.

Vysotska, O., & Vysotskyi, O. (2022). Green Consumer Culture as a Factor of Sustainable Development of Society. *Journal of Geology, Geography and Geoecology*, 31(1): 171-185. Doi: 10.15421/112217.

World Bank (2024). *A better bank for a better world: Annual report*. -- Text available at the site https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099101824180532047/pdf/BOSIB13bdde89d07f1b3711dd8e86adb477.pdf, 19.06.025.

World Economic Forum (2024). Annual Report 2023-2024. -- Text available at the site https://www.weforum.org/publications/annual-report-2023-2024/, 20.06.2025.

Woźniak, L., & Woźniak, G. (2021). Eco-Innovations as a Factor of Sustainable Development of Agriculture and Food Processing. *Problems of Agricultural Economics*, 366(1): 74-90. Doi: 10.30858/zer/132396.

Yarmatov, S.C., & Jumayev, I.K. (2022). Development of Human Capital as a Factor of Socio-Economic Development. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)*, 10(12): 1407-1408. Doi: 10.22214/ijraset.2022.48254.

Zapata-Cantu, L., & González, F. (2021). Challenges for Innovation and Sustainable Development in Latin America: The Significance of Institutions and Human Capital. *Sustainability*, 13(7): 4077. Doi: 10.3390/su13074077.

Zhakupov, A.A., Dzhangeldin, D.I., Omarkozhayeva, A.N., Mizambekova & J.K., Hajek, P. (2021). Geo-Ecologization of Tourism as a Factor of Sustainable Development of Tourism Regions. *News of National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan*, 4(448): 117-123. Doi: 10.32014/2021.2518-170X.89.

Zhironkin, S., Zhironkina, O., & Cehlár, M. (2021). Convergence as a Structural Goal of Sustainable Economic Development: The Overview of Approaches. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 315, 04019. Doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202131504019.