



## Digital channels and green transition: Consumer behaviour as for organic food e-commerce platforms

Nadia Palmieri<sup>\*,a</sup>, Daniela Covino<sup>a</sup>, Flavio Boccia<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Parthenope University of Naples, Italy

---

### Abstract

This paper investigates how some factors affect the willingness to buy organic food on e-commerce platforms. Data have been collected using a web-based survey with 490 respondents. A factor analysis was used to analyze data and later to consider them in a Poisson Count Regression Model. The findings show that well-educated and high-income women are more willing to purchase organic food on e-commerce platforms than others. Moreover, other drivers, such as food quality attributes and people's attitudes towards green consumption and digital channels, affect people's willingness to buy organic food online. These results should be useful for experts dealing with organic food. Marketing campaigns should consider all the drivers affecting people's willingness to purchase organic food online to target the market by designing communication content susceptible to generating the greatest appeal.

### Article info

**Type:**

Article

**Submitted:**

30/03/2024

**Accepted:**

04/11/2024

**Available online:**

18/12/2024

**JEL codes:**

Q13, M21, D10

**Keywords:**

Digital channels

Organic food

Green consumption

Willingness to buy

Italy

**Managing Editors:**

Chiara Rinaldi

Vladi Finotto

Christine Mauracher

---

\* *Corresponding author:* Nadia Palmieri - Department of Economics and Legal Studies - Parthenope University of Naples - Generale Parisi Street, 13, 80132, Naples, Italy. E-mail: [nadia.palmieri@uniparthenope.it](mailto:nadia.palmieri@uniparthenope.it).

## Introduction

In order to keep the livable and sustainable Earth, the European Union supports the twin green and digital transition (Muench *et al.*, 2022). Ecological transition wants to achieve sustainability and reduce pollution, while digital transition focuses on the use of digital tools to support economic growth (Muench *et al.*, 2022). In other words, ideally, the green and digital channels support each other (Muench *et al.*, 2022). In this framework, both green and digital transitions have interested many sectors, including agrifood (Camaréna, 2020; Hassoun *et al.*, 2023; Muench *et al.*, 2022). In fact, on the one hand, the usage of digital technology greatly affects the food sector, improving high-quality development and ecological growth in the agrifood sector (Camaréna, 2020; Pires *et al.*, 2022). On the other hand, digital technologies have changed consumer behaviour (Pires *et al.*, 2022). Thus, it is becoming central to study consumers' purchase decision-making process (do Paço *et al.*, 2019; Pires *et al.*, 2022). According to Palmieri *et al.* (2024), people's attitudes towards digital channels positively affect consumer buying behaviour. Moreover, platform characteristics and product attributes impact people's intention to purchase through digital channels (Lin *et al.*, 2021). Among attributes of food, people pay more attention to organic production, countries of provenience, and products with PDO, PGI, and TSG indication (Aizaki & Sato, 2020; Chrysochoidis *et al.*, 2007; Hempel & Hamm, 2016). In particular, organic food is considered to be more nutritious and healthier than traditional food (Lin *et al.*, 2021; Wang *et al.*, 2018). Hence, organic food is beneficial to both the consumer and the environment (Boccia & Tohidi, 2024; Cachero-Martínez, 2020). Thus, digital channels should be useful tools for advertising, selling organic food, and communicating with consumers (Jayakumar, 2021). People's attitudes toward digital channels positively affect both green consumption and consumer buying behaviour (Palmieri *et al.*, 2024). It is important to underline that organic food consumption, green advertising and buying environmentally friendly products are some topics related to green consumption in the current literature (Boccia & Tohidi, 2024). Thus, ecological promotion and green marketing also influence people's buying behaviour (do Paço & Reis, 2012). Bailey *et al.* (2016) observed a positive relationship between green consumption and people's responses to green publicity. In other words, green consumption values affect people's attitudes towards a firm that communicates ecological information. In this framework, if on the one hand, consumers buy food perceived as good for human health and nature (Ali & Ali, 2020; Nguyen, 2023; Ueasangkomsate & Santiteerakul, 2016); on the other hand, digital tools could help consumer decision-making by offering better information (Hennes, 2022). However, investigating trends of digital channels used

in promoting and/or selling organic products is scarce (Novytska *et al.*, 2021). In general, the usage of digital tools in the food sector is still in its primitive steps (Stranieri *et al.*, 2021), and according to Abbate *et al.* (2023), future studies could examine the factors influencing foodstuffs' sustainable consumer behaviour and their use of digital technologies. These suggestions are even more important if we think COVID-19 has left some heritage, such as increased consumption of organic food and increased purchasing of food through digital channels (De Filippis *et al.*, 2023). In fact, in 2022, food purchases through digital channels have been 80% higher than in the pre-Covid period (ISMEA, 2022a). However, most organic food purchases (63.5%) were made in Italian supermarkets, followed by specialized shops (22.9%) (ISMEA, 2022b). In this framework and as mentioned above, the current literature about consumer behaviour related to organic food in the e-commerce environment is scarce. For these reasons, the paper proposes to answer the following study questions:

- *What factors impact the willingness to buy organic food on e-commerce platforms?*
- *Can green marketing influence the willingness to buy organic food on e-commerce platforms?*

The present study aims to fill this gap and supply practical suggestions for the e-commerce marketing of organic food.

## **1. Materials and methods**

### *Data collection, the sample, and questionnaire design*

A web-based survey was used to collect a sample of 490 individuals in Italy between January and October 2023. The survey was spread through websites, Instagram, Facebook, and emails to reach the largest possible audience. The screening rules were for those over 18 years old, responsible for purchasing food items in the family and being a consumer of organic food. Moreover, a test on 57 people was carried out to find any possible misinterpretations and mistakes, as well as minor changes (to eliminate possible errors), to improve the questionnaire.

The questionnaire was composed of four sections: (1) statements regarding food quality attributes to which respondents pay attention when buying food, the number of organic foods they buy; (2) items about people's approaches to green consumption and their receptivity to marketing; (3) people's approaches as for digital channels, including their willingness to buy organic food online (4) questions about people's sociodemographic features. The current literature inspired the selected items (do Paço *et al.*, 2019; Lin *et al.*, 2021; Palmieri

*et al.*, 2021a; Palmieri *et al.*, 2021b; Palmieri *et al.*, 2024) and are all closed statements, using Likert scales with 10-point format (i.e., from 1. totally disagree to 10. totally agree with statements done). Table 1 shows the list of items made to assess each aspect. Also, sociodemographic questions were included in the questionnaire to allow us to describe the sample; however, given their relative irrelevance in the table description, the socioeconomic features are not shown.

Table 1 - The questionnaire

Items group	Item	References
<i>Quality attributes of food you pay attention on a Likert scale with 10-point (1. totally disagree to 10. totally agree)</i>	The hygienic aspects	(Palmieri <i>et al.</i> , 2021a; Palmieri <i>et al.</i> , 2021b)
	The impacts on human health	
	The nutritional content	
	The ethics aspects	
	The price of food	
	The production method of food (i.e., conventional or organic)	
	The sensory aspects of food	
	The safety aspect of food	
<i>Attitudes towards green consumption on Likert scales with 10-point</i>	The seasonality of food	(Palmieri <i>et al.</i> , 2024)
	The quality certifications (i.e., POD, IGP STG)	
	My food preferences are influenced by my concern for our ecosystem	
	I could define myself as an ecologically responsible consumer	
<i>Receptiveness to marketing on Likert scales with 10-point</i>	I am willing to consume food that is more ecologically friendly	(do Paço <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Palmieri <i>et al.</i> , 2024)
	I buy food whose brands pay attention to the environment	
	The green message in advertisements drives my buying attitudes	
	Green messages are necessary forms of advertising	
<i>Digital attitudes on Likert scales with 10-point</i>	I usually see advertisements on social media	(Lin <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
	I think buying on e-commerce platforms is easy	
	The access speed on e-commerce platforms is an important aspect during the purchases	
	I think buying online is useful to have beneficial offers	
	I think that digital technologies are useful for food traceability	

---

I think buying online is useful for reducing the environmental impact of the food supply chain  
I think that digital channels are reliable for buying a product  
I believe that digital channels' visual characteristics are important when people want to buy online  
I believe that e-commerce platforms provide sufficient information about products to buy

---

### *The factor analysis*

A factor analysis was used to validate the constructs (Alshaya *et al.*, 2014), reduce the observed variables, and later consider them in the econometric model. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure and Barlett's test verified both the sampling and correlation adequacy, respectively. In particular, KMO was equal to 0.89 (Field, 2013) and Barlett's test ( $\chi^2 = 10,448$ ;  $df = 139$ ;  $p\_value < 0.000$ ) was significant (Arsham & Lovric, 2011), indicating that the sample and correlation matrix were appropriate for such an analysis. Kaiser's criterion established the right number of factors to consider in the analysis. Four factors had eigenvalues over Kaiser's rule of 1 and explained 83% of the original variance together. The analysis with four factors showed a good fit (CFI = 0.94; TLI = 0.92; RMSEA = 0.08) (Hu & Bentler, 1999; Medsker, 1994). Also, the convergent and discriminant validity of constructs were validated (Galletta *et al.*, 2011); in fact, questions included in the same factor were highly correlated with the studied factor, while questions involved in the different factors did not correlate highly with the investigated factor.

Table 2 displays the four factors considered in the study with their Cronbach's  $\alpha$  value. Thus, the first factor is named *Attributes* and shows a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.90 after having deleted one item (i.e., the price of food) with factor loadings less than 0.60. The second factor is called *Green* and shows a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.85, while the third factor is called *Ads* with a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.80. In the end, the fourth factor is called *Digital*, with a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.90. Later, summated scales are made from the factors and used in the regression model.

Table 2 - The factor analysis with varimax rotation

Items group	Item	Attributes	Green	Ads	Digital
<i>Quality attributes of food</i> ( $\alpha = 0.90$ )	The hygienic aspects	0.88			
	The impacts on human health	0.83			
	The nutritional content	0.86			
	The ethics aspects	0.89			
	The production method of food (i.e., conventional or organic)	0.80			
	The sensory aspects of food	0.72			
	The safety aspect of food	0.70			
	The seasonality of food	0.78			
	The quality certifications (i.e., POD, IGP STG)	0.88			
<i>Attitudes towards green consumption</i> ( $\alpha = 0.85$ )	My food preferences are influenced by my concern for our ecosystem		0.80		
	I could define myself as an ecologically responsible consumer		0.76		
	I am willing to consume food that is more ecologically friendly		0.79		
<i>Receptiveness to marketing</i> ( $\alpha = 0.80$ )	I buy food whose brands pay attention to the environment			0.88	
	The green message in advertisements drives my buying attitudes			0.75	
	Green messages are necessary forms of advertising			0.72	
	I usually see advertisements on social media			0.89	
<i>Digital attitudes</i> ( $\alpha = 0.90$ )	I think buying on e-commerce platforms is easy				0.85
	The access speed on e-commerce platforms is an important aspect during the purchases				0.90
	I think buying online is useful to have beneficial offers				0.92
	I think that digital technologies are useful for food traceability				0.95
	I think buying online is useful for reducing the environmental impact of the food supply chain				0.90
	I think that digital channels are reliable for buying a product				0.80

I believe that digital channels' visual characteristics are important when people want to buy online	0.81
I believe that e-commerce platforms provide sufficient information about products to buy	0.88

*Statistical analysis*

In order to investigate which factors impact the buying intent of organic food on e-commerce platforms, a Poisson Count Regression Model (PCRM) is applied (Ali & Ali, 2020). The Poisson model of a count variable assesses the log of the expected count as follows:

$$\log \lambda_i = \alpha + \beta_i X_i + \varepsilon_i \tag{1}$$

Where:

The dependent variable is the quantity (number) of organic food purchased by the respondents. Thus,  $\log \lambda_i$  is the expected value of the dependent variable for the  $i_{th}$  observation,  $\beta_i$  is parameter estimates of the people's socioeconomic characteristics, quality attributes of food, people's receptivity to marketing, and consumers' attitudes towards the digital channel, indicated by the vector  $X_i$ ,  $\alpha$  is constant, and  $\varepsilon_i$  is an error term.

In addition, the findings of a PCRM can be explained as a rate ratio. This model can be useful in calculating the expected willingness to buy organic food on e-commerce platforms by exponentiating the coefficient value of each independent variable while assuming the effect of other variables is constant. Hence, the percentage change  $\lambda_i$  due to each independent variable  $X_i$  can be calculated as follows:

$$\Delta \lambda_i = 100 \times (exp^\beta - 1) \tag{2}$$

The sociodemographic variables have been transformed into binary values to evaluate the influence of independent variables on the dependent one. In addition, the independent variables relating to food quality attributes, people's attitudes towards green consumption, their receptivity to marketing, and people's attitudes towards digital channels come from the factor analysis. It is important to underline that, as mentioned above, summated scales are made from the factors and used in the regression model. The analysis was performed using RStudio (version 2023.12.1).

## 2. Results

### *The sample profile*

The sample was composed of 274 women (56%) and 216 men (44%) with a mean age of 33 years (S.D: 11 years) and an average monthly income of € 2,150. In fact, 54% earn between € 1,801 to € 2,500, followed by 10% of the respondents with a monthly income between € 2,501 to € 3,200. Most participants live in Southern Italy (65%) and have a high education level (60% of the sample), i.e. university college or postgraduate degrees. Moreover, 55% of the respondents are willing to buy organic food on e-commerce platforms, indicating that digital channels have an important position in their buying behaviours.

Regarding the questions asked (Table 3), all groups gave positive answers for all items. In fact, among quality attributes of food, the production method (average: 9.0, SD: 0.1), the existence of quality certifications (average: 9.0, SD: 0.2), the effects of food on human health (average: 7.9, SD: 1.0), hygiene (average: 7.8, SD: 1.0) and ethics aspects of food (average: 7.7, SD: 1.0) reached the highest values. However, safety, sensory aspects, nutrition, and seasonality of food are also important quality attributes for respondents. In the items group named attitudes towards green consumption, respondents declared that their food habits are influenced by apprehension for the environment (average: 7.3, SD: 1.0), are willing to consume food that is more environmentally friendly (mean: 6.9, SD: 1.7), and they could describe themselves as environmentally responsible consumers (average: 6.7, SD: 1.0). As regarding the receptivity to marketing, instead, the respondents believe that green messages are necessary forms of advertising (average: 7.3, SD: 0.7), they see advertisements on social media (average: 7.0, SD: 0.2) and buy food whose brands pay attention to the environmental issues (average: 6.7, SD: 1.0). In the end, the items group called attitudes towards digital channels reported positive values for each item. According to the respondents, purchases online are useful for having beneficial offers (average: 8.0, SD: 0.8), digital technologies are useful for food traceability (average: 7.2, SD: 1.4) and the access speed on the e-commerce platforms is an important aspect during purchase phase (average: 7.0, SD: 0.4). Moreover, people believe that buying on e-commerce platforms is easy (average: 6.9, SD: 1.0), digital channels visual characteristics are important (average: 6.8, SD: 0.3) and digital channels are reliable when they want to buy a product (average: 6.7, SD: 1.1).



Table 3 - Descriptive statistics

	<b>Item</b>	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	
<i>Quality attributes of food on a Likert scale with 10-point (1. totally disagree to 10. totally agree)</i>	The hygienic aspects	7.8 (1.0)	
	The impacts on human health	7.9 (1.0)	
	The nutritional content	6.3 (1.8)	
	The ethics aspects	7.7 (1.0)	
	The price of food	6.0 (1.3)	
	The production method of food (i.e., conventional or organic)	9.0 (0.1)	
	The sensory aspects of food	6.9 (0.2)	
	The safety aspect of food	7.0 (0.8)	
	The seasonality of food	6.3 (1.0)	
	The quality certifications (i.e., POD, IGP STG)	9.0 (0.2)	
	<i>Attitudes towards green consumption on Likert scales with 10-point</i>	My food preferences are influenced by my concern for our ecosystem	7.3 (1.0)
		I could define myself as an ecologically responsible consumer	6.7 (1.0)
I am willing to consume food that is more ecologically friendly		6.9 (1.7)	
<i>Receptiveness to marketing on Likert scales with 10-point</i>	I buy food whose brands pay attention to the environment	6.7 (1.0)	
	The green message in advertisements drives my attitude toward the ads	6.4 (1.2)	
	Green messages are necessary forms of advertising	7.3 (0.7)	
	I usually see advertisements on social media	7.0 (0.2)	
<i>Attitudes towards digital channels on Likert scales with 10-point</i>	I think buying on e-commerce platforms is easy	6.9 (1.0)	
	The access speed on e-commerce platforms is an important aspect during the purchases	7.0 (0.4)	
	I think buying online is useful to have beneficial offers	8.0 (0.8)	
	I think that digital technologies are useful for food traceability	7.2 (1.4)	
	I think buying online is useful for reducing the environmental impact of the food supply chain	6.1 (1.0)	
	I think that digital channels are reliable for buying a product	6.7 (1.1)	
	I believe that digital channels' visual characteristics are important when people want to buy online	6.8 (0.3)	
	I believe that e-commerce platforms provide sufficient information about products to buy	6.0 (0.8)	

### *The Poisson Count Regression Model*

Table 4 shows the findings of the PCRMR model. In particular, the log-likelihood estimates and information criterion report that the explanatory variables utilized for predicting the willingness to buy organic food on e-commerce platforms explain a good fit in the model (Ali & Ali, 2020). The socioeconomic features of people are important drivers that may influence consumers' willingness to buy organic food on e-commerce platforms. Findings show that gender, education level and income are the demographic features that should significantly influence the people's willingness to buy. The regression coefficient for gender is significantly negative ( $\beta$ : 0.454,  $p\_value < 0.05$ ), indicating that women are 9.1% more likely to purchase organic food online than men. The regression coefficient for education level, instead, is significantly positive ( $\beta$ : 0.543,  $p\_value < 0.01$ ) suggesting that people with graduation and above are comparatively 8.7% more likely to buy organic food online than other people. Moreover, the willingness to buy is positively affected by people's income level ( $\beta$ : 0.323,  $p\_value < 0.01$ ). The expected percentage impact underlines that people with a monthly income of € 2,500 and above are 15% more likely to buy organic food online than other people. Another factor that should likely influence the willingness to buy organic food through digital channels is the quality attributes of food. As mentioned above, among quality attributes, the production method, the quality certifications, and the effects of food on human health, hygiene, and ethical aspects of food reached the highest values declared by the respondents. Thus, food quality significantly affects consumers' willingness to buy. This result suggests that those consumers who pay attention to quality attributes of food are 26.3% more likely to purchase organic food on e-commerce platforms than other people ( $\beta$ : 0.392,  $p\_value < 0.01$ ). Similarly, the regression coefficient for people's attitudes towards green consumption is significant ( $\beta$ : 0.103,  $p\_value < 0.01$ ), indicating that people with a positive attitude towards green consumption are comparatively 18.2% more likely to buy organic food online than other consumers.

Finally, the usage of digital channels is becoming progressively relevant in emerging shopping environments. In fact, under this study, the regression coefficient for digital channels is significantly positive ( $\beta$ : 0.226,  $p\_value < 0.01$ ), demonstrating that consumers who give more importance to digital channel attributes are 25.9% more likely to purchase organic food online than other respondents.

Table 4 - Regression estimates – willingness to buy organic food online (N = 490)

Parameter	$\beta$	Std. Error	Percentage change $\lambda_i$
Gender (0 = Female; 1 = Male)	-0.454 <sup>b</sup>	0.096	-9.1
Age (0 = < 33 years; 1 = $\geq$ 33 years)	-0.144	0.092	-9.4
Education (1 $\geq$ graduate; 0 = otherwise)	0.543 <sup>a</sup>	0.085	8.7
Income (1 $\geq$ €2,500; 0 = otherwise)	0.323 <sup>a</sup>	0.099	15.0
Attributes	0.392 <sup>a</sup>	0.078	26.3
Green	0.103 <sup>a</sup>	0.098	18.2
Ads	0.115	0.087	3.3
Digital	0.226 <sup>a</sup>	0.099	25.9
Goodness of fit			
Log-likelihood	-888.792		
AIC	1997.333		
AICC	1888.933		
BIC	1955.222		
CAIC	1933.115		
Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square	144.233		
df	15		
Sig.	0.000		

Note: <sup>a</sup> Significant at the 0.01 level; <sup>b</sup> Significant at the 0.05 level; <sup>c</sup> Significant at the 0.10 level.

The percentage change  $\lambda_i$  was the result of equation 2 for each parameter.

### 3. Discussion

A study about digital channels used in purchasing organic food found that this issue needs to be explored more in scientific literature. The present paper wants to fill this gap by identifying the basic factors behind the buying intent of organic food on fresh food e-commerce platforms. Data were collected using a web-based survey, and the sample was composed of 490 individuals in Italy, with a mean age of 33 years (SD: 11 years), a high education level, and a high income.

As mentioned above, organic food consumption is one of the topics related to green consumption in the current literature (Boccia & Tohidi, 2024), and several academics have tried to draw an identikit of the green consumer (Testa, 2020). Although in the past, demographic characteristics (gender, age, education, and income) were believed to play a significant role in shaping consumer behaviour toward organic food (Boccia & Tohidi, 2024; Ottman,

1995), nowadays it is more difficult to associate this behaviour to the only socio-demographics features of people as other factors, and trends, come into play, in conjunction with the increasing presence and advertising for ecological goods (Testa, 2020). However, sociodemographic variables also impact the usage of digital channels (Scheerder *et al.*, 2017; Zilian & Zilian, 2020). Gong *et al.* (2020) found that well-educated and high-income women and public institution personnel are willing to use new digital technologies. Similarly, in our case, well-educated and high-income women are more willing to purchase organic food on e-commerce platforms than others.

Regarding food quality attributes, they are important elements influencing the buying decisions for healthy food (Azam *et al.*, 2012; Palmieri *et al.*, 2023). Some authors (Ali & Ali, 2020; Ngigi *et al.*, 2010) found that security, nourishment, price, sensory, economic benefits, environmental friendliness, hygiene, and moral aspects affect people's willingness to buy organic products. Migliore *et al.* (2015) showed that environmental sustainability and healthiness of food are positively relevant in driving people's buying behaviours. Other authors showed the importance of environmental welfare as a driver of consumers' choice of organic food (e.g., Palmieri *et al.*, 2023; Prada *et al.*, 2016), while other researchers found that health and safety concerns are the main factors that influence people to choose organic food (e.g., Boccia *et al.*, 2024; Lamonaca *et al.*, 2022). In addition, Prada *et al.* (2016) also suggest that consumers' perception of organic food is largely affected by the presence of specific labels. Similar results were reached by Palmieri *et al.* (2023), who showed that labels can influence people's willingness to consume organic products. Recently, Migliore *et al.* (2020) suggested that attitudes towards healthy eating and the environment are positively associated with a higher willingness to pay for organic products. Similarly, in our case, quality attributes of food significantly positively affect people's willingness to buy. Those buyers who pay attention to the quality attributes of products are 26.3% more likely to purchase organic food on e-commerce platforms than other consumers.

Consumers can buy products through digital channels (Qiu *et al.*, 2024), and the evaluation of digital channel characteristics is an important aspect for clients (Pires *et al.*, 2022). Clients' perceptions of the platform significantly influence their buying behaviour (Hsu *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, online search convenience is linked to the perceived ease and speed at which people can collect product information on the web (Aw *et al.*, 2021). According to Dekimpe *et al.* (2020), digital channels are favourably perceived as a useful search method due to their economical convenience, including ease of navigation and price comparison (Aw *et al.*, 2021). Prices in real stores are generally higher than those of digital channels (Gensler *et al.*, 2017), and if such price difference is greater than expected, customers will complete

the purchase journey online (Manss *et al.*, 2020). In light of the above, our findings are in line with the current literature. Consumers paying more attention to aspects of digital channels are 25.9% more likely to buy organic food on e-commerce platforms than others.

According to Lavuri *et al.* (2023), people show a positive attitude toward environmental issues (Testa, 2020). Similarly, in our case, the results show a positive ecological attitude paired with a positive willingness to buy organic food. People with a positive attitude towards green consumption are 18.2% more likely to buy organic food online than other consumers. These results were not surprising because, as mentioned above, being a consumer of organic food was one of the inclusion criteria in the study. According to Tucker *et al.* (2012), people who care about nature are receptive to ecologically themed advertising. Some people are more receptive to ecological communications than other consumers (do Paço *et al.*, 2019). Thus, although general ecological attitudes influence green consumption attitudes (do Paço *et al.*, 2019), in our case, we did not observe evidence to support our research hypothesis according to which green advertisements drive people's willingness to purchase organic food on e-commerce platforms. This scepticism may be due to consumers' concerns that companies are spreading false and ambiguous green information (Palmieri *et al.*, 2024). In fact, according to Kwong Goh & Balaji (2016), despite the increase in green offerings, there is growing concern among people that firms are spreading fake environmental information to increase their sales and reputation. False advertising or fake claims about green products or services is called "greenwashing", a type of dishonest marketing (Blome *et al.*, 2017). Thus, greenwashing is a crucial problem that can reduce customer trust and undermine the effectiveness of real environmental efforts (Meet *et al.*, 2024). However, according to Forehand and Grier (2003), sceptical consumers can change their minds when presented with sufficient proof.

## **Conclusions**

This paper focuses on the consumer perspective, investigating whether and how some factors affect willingness to buy organic food on e-commerce platforms.

Findings show that respondents' sociodemographic characteristics, education, and income influence their willingness to purchase organic food on e-commerce platforms. Moreover, other factors, such as food quality and the consumer's attitudes towards both green consumption and digital channels, drive willingness to purchase organic food online.

The practical/managerial implications of our study are relevant. First, it is important to underline that the findings should be useful in stimulating the discussion about marketing strategies that can further nurture green behaviours. As mentioned above, in Italy, most organic food purchases are not online, and these findings should be important to support insights of discussion for producers and retailers. In this respect, our results should become significant to producers and experts dealing with organic products, particularly sellers. Food producers and marketers should develop strategies based on digital channels to influence buying behaviour.

Certainly, digital and green aspects are likely to affect consumers' willingness to buy organic food online, and marketers can utilize all information in their segmentation, targeting, and positioning strategies. Second, it could be useful for public policies promoting organic food to use digital channels. In this way, consumers would have more information about the characteristics and benefits of organic products, and thus, this should affect their decision-making process. Thus, digital channels in food consumption processes and sales will require tools that are clear, recognized, and used by people. The achievement of these goals will depend not only on technological development but also on consumers' behaviours towards this new scenario.

The sample considered is not representative of the whole Italian population. Future studies should be carried out on an Italian representative sample, and in different countries. In fact, regarding the latter aspect, some factors should vary across cultures, which may imply the need for changes in the items used to keep up with the prevailing cultural differences.

## Conflicts of interest

None. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## References

- Abbate, S., Centobelli, P., & Cerchione, R. (2023). The digital and sustainable transition of the agrifood sector. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 187, 122222. doi: 10.1016/J.TECHFORE.2022.122222.
- Aizaki, H., & Sato, K. (2020). Consumer preferences for three dimensions of country of origin of a processed food product. *British Food Journal*, 122(11), 3361-3382. doi: 10.1108/BFJ-10-2019-0762/FULL/PDF.

- Ali, T., & Ali, J. (2020a). Factors affecting the consumers' willingness to pay for health and wellness food products. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 2. doi: 10.1016/j.jafr.2020.100076.
- Ali, T., & Ali, J. (2020b). Factors affecting the consumers' willingness to pay for health and wellness food products. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 2. doi: 10.1016/j.jafr.2020.100076.
- Ali, T., & Ali, J. (2020c). Factors affecting the consumers' willingness to pay for health and wellness food products. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 2. doi: 10.1016/j.jafr.2020.100076.
- Alshaya S., Gopakumar A., & Nair S. (2014). Confirmatory Factor Analysis to evaluate the Construct Validity of a Questionnaire. In *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* (Vol. 10, pp. 85-110). Annual Reviews Inc. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032813-153700.
- Arsham, H., & Lovric, M. (2011). Bartlett's Test. In *International Encyclopedia of Statistical Science* (pp. 87-88). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-04898-2\_132.
- Aw, E. C. X., Kamal Basha, N., Ng, S. I., & Ho, J. A. (2021). Searching online and buying offline: Understanding the role of channel-, consumer-, and product-related factors in determining webrooming intention. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 58. doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102328.
- Azam N. H. M., O. N. M. R. F. A. A. A. (2012). *ISBEIA 2012 : IEEE Symposium on Business, Engineering and Industrial Applications*. IEEE.
- Bailey, A. A., Mishra, A., & Tiamiyu, M. F. (2016). Green advertising receptivity: An initial scale development process. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 22(3), 327-345. doi: 10.1080/13527266.2014.904812.
- Blome, C., Foerstl, K., & Schleper, M. C. (2017). Antecedents of green supplier championing and greenwashing: An empirical study on leadership and ethical incentives. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 152, 339-350. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.052.
- Boccia, F., Alvino, L., & Covino, D. (2024). This is not my jam: an Italian choice experiment on the influence of typical product attributes on consumers' willingness to pay. *Nutrition and Food Science*, 54(1), 13-32. doi: 10.1108/NFS-04-2023-0076.
- Boccia, F., & Tohidi, A. (2024). Analysis of green word-of-mouth advertising behavior of organic food consumers. *Appetite*, 198, 107324. doi: 10.1016/J.APPET.2024.107324.
- Cachero-Martínez, S. (2020). Consumer Behaviour towards Organic Products: The Moderating Role of Environmental Concern. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 2020, 13(12), 330. doi: 10.3390/JRFM13120330.
- Camaréna, S. (2020). Artificial intelligence in the design of the transitions to sustainable food systems. In *Journal of Cleaner Production* (Vol. 271). Elsevier Ltd. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122574.
- Chrysochoidis, G., Krystallis, A., & Perreas, P. (2007). Ethnocentric beliefs and country-of-origin (COO) effect: Impact of country, product and product attributes on Greek consumers' evaluation of food products. *European Journal of Marketing*, 41(11-12), 1518-1544. doi: 10.1108/03090560710821288/FULL/PDF.

- De Filippis, F., Bravo, F. Del, Finizia, A., Fioriti, L., Meloni, C., Nucera, M., & Selmi, U. (n.d.). *Rapporto sull'Agroalimentare Italiano*.
- Dekimpe, M. G., Geyskens, I., & Gielens, K. (2020). Using technology to bring online convenience to offline shopping. *Marketing Letters*, 31(1), 25-29. doi: 10.1007/s11002-019-09508-5.
- do Paço, A. do, Shiel, C., & Alves, H. (2019). A new model for testing green consumer behaviour. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 207, 998-1006. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.105.
- do Paço M. F., & Reis A. R. (2012). Factors affecting skepticism toward green advertising. *Journal of Advertising*, 41(4), 147-155. doi: 10.2753/JOA0091-3367410410.
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics*. Sage Publications, Ed..
- Forehand, M. R., & Grier, S. (2003). When Is Honesty the Best Policy? The Effect of Stated Company Intent on Consumer Skepticism. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 13(3), 349-356. doi: 10.1207/s15327663jcpl1303\_15.
- Galletta, M. B. A., & P. I. (n.d.). *Validazione della scala di motivazione al lavoro (MAWS) nel contesto italiano: Evidenza di un modello a tre fattori*. -- Retrieved 15 March 2024, from <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2012-34731-004>.
- Gensler, S., Neslin, S. A., & Verhoef, P. C. (2017). The Showrooming Phenomenon: It's More than Just About Price. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 38, 29-43. doi: 10.1016/J.INTMAR.2017.01.003.
- Gong, X., Zhang, J., Zhang, H., Cheng, M., Wang, F., & Yu, N. (2020). Internet use encourages pro-environmental behavior: Evidence from China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 256. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120725.
- Hassoun, A., Marvin, H. J. P., Bouzembrak, Y., Barba, F. J., Castagnini, J. M., Pallarés, N., Rabail, R., Aadil, R. M., Bangar, S. P., Bhat, R., Cropotova, J., Maqsood, S., & Regenstein, J. M. (2023). Digital transformation in the agrifood industry: recent applications and the role of the COVID-19 pandemic. In *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems* (Vol. 7). Frontiers Media SA. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2023.1217813.
- Hempel, C., & Hamm, U. (2016). How important is local food to organic-minded consumers? *Appetite*, 96, 309-318. doi: 10.1016/J.APPET.2015.09.036.
- Hennes, L. S. M. L. C. (2022). *Digitalization for a Sustainable Food System: study within the project Shaping the Digital Transformation*. -- [www.wupperinst.org](http://www.wupperinst.org).
- Hsu, M. H., Chang, C. M., Chu, K. K., & Lee, Y. J. (2014). Determinants of repurchase intention in online group-buying: The perspectives of DeLone & McLean is success model and trust. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 36, 234-245. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2014.03.065.
- Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1-55. doi: 10.1080/10705519909540118.
- ISMEA (2022a). I Consumi alimentari delle famiglie. La spesa domestica ai tempi dell'inflazione nei primi nove mesi del 2022. In *CONSUMI ALIMENTARI Consumi domestici delle famiglie* (pp. 1-15). ISMEA.



- ISMEA (2022b). *Acquisti alimentari di prodotti biologici delle famiglie per consumo domestico 2022*.
- Jayakumar, A. (2021). *E-MARKETING (DIGITAL MARKETING) STRATEGIES OF ORGANIC FOOD PRODUCTS*. -- [www.researchgate.net/publication/351022304](http://www.researchgate.net/publication/351022304).
- Kwong Goh, S., & Balaji, M. (2016). *Linking green skepticism to green purchase behavior\**. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.122.
- Lamonaca, E., Cafarelli, B., Calculli, C., & Tricase, C. (2022). Consumer perception of attributes of organic food in Italy: A CUB model study. *Heliyon*, 8(3). doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09007.
- Lavuri, R., Roubaud, D., & Grebinevych, O. (2023). Sustainable consumption behaviour: Mediating role of pro-environment self-identity, attitude, and moderation role of environmental protection emotion. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 347. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119106.
- Lin, J., Li, T., & Guo, J. (2021). Factors influencing consumers' continuous purchase intention on fresh food e-commerce platforms: An organic foods-centric empirical investigation. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 50. doi: 10.1016/j.elerap.2021.101103.
- Manss, R., Kurze, K., & Bornschein, R. (2020). What drives competitive webrooming? The roles of channel and retailer aspects. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 30(3), 233-265. doi: 10.1080/09593969.2019.1687104.
- Medsker, G. (1994). A review of current practices for evaluating causal models in organizational behavior and human resources management research. *Journal of Management*, 20(2), 439-464. doi: 10.1016/0149-2063(94)90022-1.
- Meet, R. K., Kundu, N., & Ahluwalia, I. S. (2024). Does socio demographic, green washing, and marketing mix factors influence Gen Z purchase intention towards environmentally friendly packaged drinks? Evidence from emerging economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 434. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140357.
- Migliore, G., Galati, A., Romeo, P., Crescimanno, M., & Schifani, G. (2015). Quality attributes of cactus pear fruit and their role in consumer choice: The case of Italian consumers. *British Food Journal*, 117(6), 1637-1651. doi: 10.1108/BFJ-04-2014-0147.
- Migliore, G., Thrassou, A., Crescimanno, M., Schifani, G., & Galati, A. (2020). Factors affecting consumer preferences for "natural wine": An exploratory study in the Italian market. *British Food Journal*, 122(8), 2463-2479. doi: 10.1108/BFJ-07-2019-0474.
- Muench, S., Stoermer, E., Jensen, K., Asikainen, T., Salvi, M., Scapoo, F., & Europäische Gemeinschaften Gemeinsame Forschungsstelle. (n.d.). *Towards a green & digital future key requirements for successful twin transitions in the European Union*.
- Ngigi M. W., O. J. L. C. K. N. (n.d.). *Assessment of developing-country urban consumers' willingness to pay for quality of leafy vegetables: The case of middle and high income consumers in Nairobi*.
- Nguyen, D. D. (2023). Evaluating the Consumer Attitude and Behavioral Consumption of Green Products in Vietnam. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(9). doi: 10.3390/su15097612.

- Novytska, I., Chychkalo-Kondratska, I., Chyzhevskaya, M., Sydorenko-Melnyk, H., & Tytarenko, L. (2021). Digital marketing in the system of promotion of organic products. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics*, 18, 524-530. doi: 10.37394/23207.2021.18.53.
- Ottman, R. (1995). Gene-Environment Interaction and Public Health. In *Am. J. Hum. Genet* (Vol. 56).
- Palmieri, N., Perito, M. A., & Pesce, A. (2023). Young Consumers' Preferences for Natural Wine: An Italian Exploratory Study. *Journal of International Food and Agribusiness Marketing*. doi: 10.1080/08974438.2023.2284909.
- Palmieri, N., Pesce, A., Verrascina, M., & Perito, M. A. (2021). Market opportunities for hay milk: Factors influencing perceptions among Italian consumers. *Animals*, 11(2). doi: 10.3390/ani11020431.
- Palmieri, N., Suardi, A., Stefanoni, W., & Pari, L. (2021). Opuntia ficus-indica as an ingredient in new functional pasta: Consumer preferences in Italy. *Foods*, 10(4). doi: 10.3390/foods10040803.
- Palmieri N., Boccia F., & Covino D. (2024). Digital and Green Behaviour: An Exploratory Study on Italian Consumers. *Sustainability*, 16(8), 3459. doi: 10.3390/su16083459.
- Pires, P. B., Santos, J. D., Brito, P. Q. de, & Marques, D. N. (2022). Connecting Digital Channels to Consumers' Purchase Decision-Making Process in Online Stores. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(21). doi: 10.3390/su142114392.
- Prada, M., Rodrigues, D., & Garrido, M. V. (2016). Deliberate choices or strong motives: Exploring the mechanisms underlying the bias of organic claims on leniency judgments. *Appetite*, 103, 8-16. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2016.03.012.
- Qiu, R., Li, C., & Sun, M. (2024). Impacts of consumer virtual showrooming behavior on manufacturer and retailer strategic decisions in a dual-channel supply chain. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 313(1), 325-342. doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2023.08.025.
- Scheerder, A., van Deursen, A., & van Dijk, J. (2017). Determinants of Internet skills, uses and outcomes. A systematic review of the second- and third-level digital divide. *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(8), 1607-1624. doi: 10.1016/j.tele.2017.07.007.
- Stranieri, S., Riccardi, F., Meuwissen, M. P. M., & Soregaroli, C. (2021). Exploring the impact of blockchain on the performance of agrifood supply chains. *Food Control*, 119. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107495.
- Testa F. I. F. B. G. di I. I. R., & V. F. (2020). *Green consumer behaviour: insights from survey and experiments*. -- [www.lifemagis.eu/wp-content/uploads/LIFE-MAGIS\\_Green-consumer-behaviour\\_Insights-from-survey-and-experiments.pdf](http://www.lifemagis.eu/wp-content/uploads/LIFE-MAGIS_Green-consumer-behaviour_Insights-from-survey-and-experiments.pdf).
- Tohidi, A., Mousavi, S., Dourandish, A., & Alizadeh, P. (2023). Organic food market segmentation based on the neobehavioristic theory of consumer behavior. *British Food Journal*, 125(3), 810-831. doi: 10.1108/BFJ-12-2021-1269.
- Tucker, E. M., Rifon, N. J., Lee, E. M., & Reece, B. B. (2012). Consumer Receptivity to green Ads: a test of green claim types and the role of individual Consumer characteristics for green ad response. *Journal of Advertising*, 41(4), 9-23. doi: 10.2753/JOA0091-3367410401.

- Ueasangkomsate, P., & Santiteerakul, S. (2016). A Study of Consumers' Attitudes and Intention to Buy Organic Foods for Sustainability. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 34, 423-430. doi: 10.1016/j.proenv.2016.04.037.
- Wang, R., Liaukonyte, J., & Kaiser, H. M. (2018). Does Advertising Content Matter? Impacts of Healthy Eating and Anti-Obesity Advertising on Willingness to Pay by Consumer Body Mass Index. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review*, 47(1), 1-31. doi: 10.1017/age.2018.1.
- Zilian, S. S., & Zilian, L. S. (2020). Digital inequality in Austria: Empirical evidence from the survey of the OECD "Programme for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies". *Technology in Society*, 63. doi: 10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101397.

**Nadia Palmieri**

Department of Economics and Legal Studies, Parthenope University of Naples, Generale Parisi Street, 13 – 80132, Naples, Italy

Tel. + 39 081 547 4397

E-mail: [nadia.palmieri@uniparthenope.it](mailto:nadia.palmieri@uniparthenope.it)

She is a researcher at “Parthenope” University of Naples in Italy, and gained a National Scientific Qualification in Agricultural Economics. Her main areas of research are consumer behaviour, agricultural economics, the usage of new technologies in the food sector as well as economic assessment of agricultural systems. On these subjects, she was the scientific responsible for the economic aspect of eleven research projects and published more than 70 papers.

**Daniela Covino**

Department of Economics and Legal Studies, Parthenope University of Naples, Generale Parisi Street, 13 – 80132, Naples, Italy

Tel. + 39 081 547 4345

E-mail: [daniela.covino@uniparthenope.it](mailto:daniela.covino@uniparthenope.it)

She is Full Professor of Economics at “Parthenope” University of Naples and Lecturer in Agri-Food Strategies. Her main research interests concern: consumer behaviour, marketing strategies, internationalization. She is an editorial board member and guest editor for the special issues of several international journals and is vice-Rector for incoming orientation at ‘Parthenope’ University of Naples. Moreover, she published about indexed 20 papers in the main international journals.

**Flavio Boccia**

Department of Economics and Legal Studies, Parthenope University of Naples, Generale Parisi Street, 13, 80132 – Naples, Italy

Tel. + 39 081 547 4343

E-mail: [flavio.boccia@uniparthenope.it](mailto:flavio.boccia@uniparthenope.it)

He is Full Professor of Economics at “Parthenope” University of Naples and Lecturer in Agri-Food Business Internationalization and Sustainability. His main research interests concern: genetically modified organisms, corporate social responsibility, marketing strategies, internationalization. He is an editorial board member and guest editor for the special issues of several international journals and associate editor of Environment, Development and Sustainability journal (Springer ed.). With other authors, he won some international awards. At present he is also: a scientific member of permanent international conference ‘Competitiveness of agri-food and environmental economy’; a member and referent of Ph.D. in ‘Economics, Statistics a Sustainability’ in ‘Parthenope’ University of Naples and published more than indexed 40 papers in the main international journals.